

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH MARINO	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	NO. 02-cv-4488
KENT LINE INTERNATIONAL d/b/a	:	
VOYAGEUR SHIPPING LTD.	:	
and	:	
SLS, INC. d/b/a HOLT OVERSIGHT AND	:	
LOGISTICAL TECHNOLOGIES	:	
and	:	
INCHCAPE SHIPPING	:	

**DEFENDANT INCHCAPE SHIPPING'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

For the reasons set forth in co-defendants' Responses in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Inchcape Shipping joins in their arguments in opposition to plaintiff's motion.

WHEREFORE, Inchcape Shipping requests that plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration be denied.

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By: _____
Ann-Michele G. Higgins, Esquire
The Widener Bldg., 16th Floor
One S. Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 575-4200
Attorney for Defendant,
Inchcape Shipping

DATED: October 28, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of defendant Inchcape Shipping's Response in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, was sent via telefax to all counsel and/or parties of record:

Thomas More Holland, Esquire
Law Offices of Thomas More Holland
1522 Locust Street
Grace Hall
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Attorney for Plaintiff

A. Robert Degen, Esquire
Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien & Frankel
2000 Market Street, Tenth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-3291

Faustino Mattioni, Esquire
Mattioni, Ltd.
399 Market Street, 2nd floor
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2138

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By: _____
Anne A. Cave

The Widener Building
One South Penn Square
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 575-4200

DATED: October 28, 2003

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JOSEPH MARINO	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
	:	NO. 02-cv-4488
KENT LINE INTERNATIONAL d/b/a	:	
VOYAGEUR SHIPPING LTD.	:	
and	:	
SLS, INC. d/b/a HOLT OVERSIGHT AND	:	
LOGISTICAL TECHNOLOGIES	:	
and	:	
INCHCAPE SHIPPING	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, TO WIT, this day of , 2003, it is
hereby ORDERED and DECREED that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED.

J.